arXiv:1512.05675v1 [math.CO] 17 Dec 2015

3-connected graphs and their degree sequences

Jonathan McLaughlin

Abstract. Necessary and sufficient conditions for a sequence of positive integers to be the degree sequence of a 3-connected simple graph are detailed. Conditions are also given under which such a sequence is necessarily 3-connected i.e. the sequence can only be realised as a 3-connected graph. Finally, a matrix is introduced whose non-empty entries partition the set of 3-connected graphs.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 05C40.

Keywords. 3-connected graph, degree sequence, graph construction, BG-operations.

1. Introduction

Necessary and sufficient conditions for a sequence of non-negative integers to be connected i.e. the degree sequence of some finite simple connected graph, are implicit in Hakimi [6] and have been stated explicitly by the author in [7]. This note builds upon these conditions of Hakimi and begins by describing an iterative construction of a 3-connected graph (in which all intermediate graphs are also 3-connected), due to Barnette and Grünbaum [1]. The set of all 3-connected graphs is then partitioned into equivalence classes each of which is placed separately in a unique entry of a matrix $P_{\mathcal{G}_3}$. The relationship between entries in $P_{\mathcal{G}_3}$ and the Barnette and Grünbaum construction is then described. Necessary and sufficient conditions are given for a sequence of non-negative integers to be 3-connected and/or necessarily 3-connected. Finally, the relationship between entries in $P_{\mathcal{G}_3}$ and (necessarily) 3-connected degree sequences is outlined.

2. Preliminaries

Let $G = (V_G, E_G)$ be a graph where V_G denotes the vertex set of G and $E_G \subseteq [V_G]^2$ denotes the edge set of G (given that $[V_G]^2$ is the set of all 2-element subsets of V_G). An edge $\{a, b\}$ is denoted ab. A graph is finite when $|V_G| < \infty$ and $|E_G| < \infty$, where $|X|$ denotes the cardinality of the set X. The union of

graphs G and H i.e. $(V_G \cup V_H, E_G \cup E_H)$, is denoted $G \cup H$. By a slight abuse of notation, $ab \cup G$ is understood to be the graph $(\{a, b\}, \{ab\}) \cup (V_G, E_G)$. A graph is simple if it contains no loops (i.e. $aa \notin E_G$) or parallel/multiple edges (i.e. $\{ab, ab\} \not\subseteq E_G$). The *degree* of a vertex v in a graph G, denoted $deg(v)$, is the number of edges in G which contain v. A graph where all vertices have degree k is called a k-*regular* graph. A *path* is a graph with n vertices in which two vertices, known as the *endpoints*, have degree 1 and $n-2$ vertices have degree 2. A graph is *connected* if there exists at least one path between every pair of vertices in the graph. Paths P_1 and P_2, both with endpoints a and b, are *internally disjoint* if $P_1 \cap P_2 = (\{a, b\}, \{\})$. A graph G is 3-*connected* when there exists at least 3 internally disjoint paths in G between any two vertices in G. A *tree* is a connected graph with n vertices and $n-1$ edges. K_n denotes the *complete graph* on n vertices. All basic graph theory definitions can be found in standard texts such as [2], [3] or [5]. All graphs in this work are assumed to be simple, undirected and finite.

3. Constructing 3-connected graphs

Barnette and Grünbaum introduce three operations on 3-connected graphs in [1] which are used to construct a 3-connected graph from K_4 where all intermediate graphs are also 3-connected. These operations are collectively referred to as *BG-operations* and are described in Definition 3.1. Each operation is also individually named based on the number of additional vertices and edges, respectively, that are added to the 3-connected graph by the operation i.e. the $(2, 3)$-operation on a 3-connected graph H adds 2 vertices to V_H and 3 edges to E_H. Before defining BG-operations note that an edge $uw \in E_G$ is *subdivided* whenever uw is removed from E_G, a vertex v is added to V_G and the edges uv and vw are added to E_G.

Definition 3.1. Given a 3-connected graph H then a BG-operation on H is one of the following operations:

- $(0, 1)$-operation: add an edge ab to H such that $a, b \in V_H$ but $ab \notin E_H$.
- $(1, 2)$-operation: subdivide an edge $xy \in E_H$ by adding a vertex $a \notin V_H$, then adding the edge $ab \notin E_H$ such that $b \in V_H$ and $b \neq x, y$.
- $(2, 3)$-operation: subdivide edges xy and wz, where $xy \neq wz$, by adding vertices a and b, respectively, then adding the edge ab.

In the literature the operations described in Definition 3.1, and shown in FIGURE 1, are called *basic* BG-operations as they do not result in the introduction of parallel edges.

Theorem 3.2. *A graph G is 3-connected if and only if it can be constructed from K_4 using BG-operations.*

No loss of generality is incurred by using only basic BG-operations as every multigraph has a maximal *simple* graph which can be constructed from K_4 using *basic* BG-operations. Hence, it simply requires the addition of the



$(0,1)$-*operation* $(1,2)$-*operation* $(2,3)$-*operation*

FIGURE 1. The BG-operations.

appropriate parallel edges and loops to complete the construction of the required multigraph. Further details on Theorem 3.2 can be found in [1] and [9] as well as in [8].

4. Listing all 3-connected graphs

Let $\mathcal{G}_3$ be the set of all (unlabelled) 3-connected simple graphs. Define a graph equivalence relation $\sim$ as $G \sim H$ if and only if $|V_G| = |V_H|$ and $|E_G| = |E_H|$.

Consider a matrix $P = \left(p_{i,j}\right)_{i\in\mathbb{Z},j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ such that each non-empty entry $p_{i,j} \in P$ contains a single equivalence class (with respect to $\sim$) with the entry $p_{0,0}$ containing K_4.

The equivalence classes are arranged in P as follows:

- Each $G \in p_{i,j}$ is a maximal proper subgraph of some $G' \in p_{i,j+1}$ such that $V_G \subset V_{G'}$.
- Each $G \in p_{i,j}$ is a maximal proper subgraph of some $G'' \in p_{i+1,j}$ such that $V_G = V_{G''}$ and $E_G \subset E_{G''}$.
- If $p_{i,j}$ contains a maximal complete graph, then $p_{i+1,j},\ p_{i+2,j},\ \ldots$ are empty.

Such an arrangement of equivalence classes within P defines the following relationships between vertex and edge set cardinalities:

- Assuming that $p_{i,j}$ does not contain a maximal complete graph, then for any $G \in p_{i,j}$ there exists some $G^* \in p_{i+1,j}$ such that $G \subset G^*$ with $|V_{G^*}| = |V_G|$ and $|E_{G^*}| = |E_G| + 1$. See FIGURE 2.
- For any $G \in p_{i,j}$ there exists some $G^{**} \in p_{i,j+1}$ such that $G \subset G^{**}$ with $|V_{G^{**}}| = |V_G| + 1$ and $|E_{G^{**}}| = |E_G| + 3$ (when adding a vertex to a 3-connected graph, so that 3-connectedness is preserved, at least 3 additional edges must also be added). See FIGURE 2.

For each $G \in p_{i,j}$ it is possible to state $|V_G|$ and $|E_G|$ in terms of i and j.

As $p_{0,0}$ contains K_4 and all entries in the same column of P contain graphs with the same number of vertices, then column j contains graphs with $j + 4$ vertices, where $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$.



FIGURE 2. The relationship between graphs in adjacent entries in P.

Recall that $p_{0,0}$ contains K_4 and that $|E_{K_4}| = \binom{4}{2}$. So that 3-connectedness is preserved then graphs in $p_{0,1}$ have $4+1$ vertices and $\binom{4}{2}+3$ edges. It follows that graphs in entry $p_{0,j}$ have $4+j$ vertices and $\binom{4}{2}+3j$ edges. Observe that graphs in $p_{1,j}$ (if they exist) contain 1 more edge than graphs in $p_{0,j}$ and that graphs in $p_{-1,j}$ (if they exist) contain 1 edge less than graphs in $p_{0,j}$. As rows are labelled using $\mathbb{Z}$ then the entry $p_{i,j}$ contains graphs with $\binom{4}{2}+3j+i$ edges.

It is now possible to define $P_{\mathcal{G}_3}$, the *partition matrix of* $\mathcal{G}_3$.

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{G}_3$ be the set of all 3-connected simple graphs, then $P_{\mathcal{G}_3}$, the partition matrix of $\mathcal{G}_3$, is the matrix

$$P_{\mathcal{G}_3} := \left(p_{i,j}\right)_{i\in\mathbb{Z},\ j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$$

such that

$$p_{i,j} := \{G \in \mathcal{G}_3 \ \mid \ |V_G| = j+4 \ \ and \ \ |E_G| = i+3j+6\}.$$

A portion of $P_{\mathcal{G}_3}$ in shown in FIGURE 3.

$$P_{\mathcal{G}_3} = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ p_{-4,0} & p_{-4,1} & p_{-4,2} & p_{-4,3} & \cdots \\ p_{-3,0} & p_{-3,1} & p_{-3,2} & p_{-3,3} & \cdots \\ p_{-2,0} & p_{-2,1} & p_{-2,2} & p_{-2,3} & \cdots \\ p_{-1,0} & p_{-1,1} & p_{-1,2} & p_{-1,3} & \cdots \\ p_{0,0} & p_{0,1} & p_{0,2} & p_{0,3} & \cdots \\ p_{1,0} & p_{1,1} & p_{1,2} & p_{1,3} & \cdots \\ p_{2,0} & p_{2,1} & p_{2,2} & p_{2,3} & \cdots \\ p_{3,0} & p_{3,1} & p_{3,2} & p_{3,3} & \cdots \\ p_{4,0} & p_{4,1} & p_{4,2} & p_{4,3} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ \varnothing & \varnothing & \varnothing & p_{-4,3} & \cdots \\ \varnothing & \varnothing & p_{-3,2} & p_{-3,3} & \cdots \\ \varnothing & \varnothing & p_{-2,2} & p_{-2,3} & \cdots \\ \varnothing & p_{-1,1} & p_{-1,2} & p_{-1,3} & \cdots \\ K_4 & p_{0,1} & p_{0,2} & p_{0,3} & \cdots \\ \varnothing & K_5 & p_{1,2} & p_{1,3} & \cdots \\ \varnothing & \varnothing & p_{2,2} & p_{2,3} & \cdots \\ \varnothing & \varnothing & K_6 & p_{3,3} & \cdots \\ \varnothing & \varnothing & \varnothing & p_{4,3} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

FIGURE 3. The partition matrix $P_{\mathcal{G}_3}$ of $\mathcal{G}_3$.

5. BG-operations and $P_{\mathcal{G}_3}$

It is now possible to see how entries in $P_{\mathcal{G}_3}$ are related in terms of the three BG-operations.

- Performing a $(0,1)$-operation on some $G \in p_{i,j}$ results in some $G' \in p_{i+1,j}$ such that $|V_{G'}| = |V_G|$ and $|E_{G'}| = |E_G| + 1$.
- Performing a $(1,2)$-operation on some $G \in p_{i,j}$ results in some $G'' \in p_{i-1,j+1}$ such that $|V_{G''}| = |V_G| + 1$ and $|E_{G''}| = |E_G| + 2$.
- Performing a $(2,3)$-operation on some $G \in p_{i,j}$ results in some $G''' \in p_{i-3,j+2}$ such that $|V_{G'''}| = |V_G| + 2$ and $|E_{G'''}| = |E_G| + 3$.

The relationship described in the three points above is illustrated in FIGURE 4.



FIGURE 4. The relationship between BG-operations and entries in $P_{\mathcal{G}_3}$.

When constructing any 3-connected graph G using BG-operations it is worth noting that the order in which the BG-operations are performed is not always arbitrary as is illustrated by the following example.

Example. Consider the entry $p_{-2,2}$ in $P_{\mathcal{G}_3}$ as shown in FIGURE 3. The graph G_1 in FIGURE 5 is the result of a $(1,2)$-operation performed on K_4 followed by another $(1,2)$-operation. The graph G_2 in FIGURE 5 is the result of a $(2,3)$-operation performed on K_4 followed by a $(0,1)$-operation. Note that no graph in $p_{-2,2}$ is the result of a $(0,1)$-operation performed on K_4 followed by a $(2,3)$-operation. Observe that $G_1 \sim G_2$ as they are both contained in $p_{-2,2}$ but that it is not possible to construct G_1 using a $(2,3)$-operation followed by a $(0,1)$-operation and similarly, it is not possible to construct G_2 using two successive $(1,2)$-operations.



FIGURE 5. The choice of BG-operations (type or order) when constructing a 3-connected graph is not arbitrary.

6. Degree sequences and graph partitions

A finite sequence $s = \{s_1, ..., s_n\}$ of non-negative integers is called *graphic* if there exists a finite simple graph with vertex set $\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$ such that v_i has degree s_i for all $i = 1, ..., n$. Necessary and sufficient conditions for a sequence of non-negative integers to be graphic were first described by Erdös and Gallai in [4]. Necessary and sufficient conditions for a sequence of non-negative integers to be connected are implicit in Hakimi [6] and these conditions have been stated explicitly by the author in [7]. The maximum degree of a vertex in G is denoted Δ_G and the minimum degree of a vertex in G is denoted δ_G. Given a graph G then the degree sequence $d(G)$ is the monotonic non-increasing sequence of degrees of the vertices in V_G. This means that every graphical sequence s is equal to the degree sequence $d(G)$ of some graph G (subject to possible rearrangement of the terms in s).

Definition 6.1. A finite sequence $s = \{s_1, ..., s_n\}$ of positive integers is called 3-*connected* if there exists a finite simple 3-connected graph with vertex set $\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$ such that $deg(v_i) = s_i$ for all $i = 1, ..., n$.

Given a sequence of positive integers $s = \{s_1, ..., s_n\}$ then define the *associated pair of* s, denoted $(\varphi(s), \epsilon(s))$, to be the pair $(n, \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i)$. Where no ambiguity can arise, $(\varphi(s), \epsilon(s))$ is simply denoted (φ, ϵ).

Lemma 6.2. *Given a sequence* s *with associated pair* (φ, ϵ) *such that* $s = d(G)$ *for some* 3*-connected graph* $G \in \mathcal{G}_3$*, then* G *is contained in* $p_{\,\epsilon-3\varphi+6,\ \varphi-4}$ *in* $P_{\mathcal{G}_3}$.

Proof. As s is the degree sequence $d(G)$ of some graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_3$ then $|V_G|$ is the number of terms in s which is φ and $|E_G|$ is half the sum of the degrees of all vertices in G which is exactly ϵ. Recall that each entry $p_{i,j}$ in $P_{\mathcal{G}_3}$ is defined as $\{G \in \mathcal{G}_3 \mid |V_G| = j + 4 \text{ and } |E_G| = i + 3j + 6\}$. By rearranging $|V_G| = \varphi = j + 4$ and substituting into $|E_G| = \epsilon = i + 3j + 6$ then $j = \varphi - 4$ and $i = \epsilon - 3\varphi + 6$ hence $s = d(G)$ for some G contained in $p_{\,\epsilon-3\varphi+6,\ \varphi-4}$. □

Lemma 6.3. *The non-empty entries in column $j = \varphi - 4$ of $P_{\mathcal{G}_3}$ are*

- $p_{\frac{-3\varphi+12}{2},\,\varphi-4}$ *to* $p_{\binom{\varphi-3}{2},\,\varphi-4}$*, inclusive, when φ is even, and*
- $p_{\frac{-3\varphi+13}{2},\,\varphi-4}$ *to* $p_{\binom{\varphi-3}{2},\,\varphi-4}$*, inclusive, when φ is odd.*

Proof. Observe that $\delta_G \geq 3$ for every $G \in \mathcal{G}_3$. It follows that the minimum possible ϵ for a degree sequence s of any 3-connected graph is $\epsilon = \frac{3\varphi}{2}$ i.e. $s = \underbrace{\{3, ..., 3\}}_{\varphi}$. Note that ϵ must be even by definition, however, this can only occur whenever φ is even. In other words, there cannot exist a 3-regular graph with an odd number of vertices. From Lemma 6.2, when φ is even, the uppermost non-empty entry in column $j = \varphi - 4$ of $P_{\mathcal{G}_3}$ is contained in row $\min\{\epsilon\} - 3\varphi + 6 = \frac{3\varphi}{2} - 3\varphi + 6 = \frac{-3\varphi+12}{2}$.

Observe that for any $G \in \mathcal{G}_3$ where $d(G) = s$ then $\Delta_G \leq \varphi - 1$ as G is simple. It follows that the maximum possible ϵ of any 3-connected graph is $\epsilon = \binom{\varphi}{2}$ i.e. $s = \underbrace{\{\varphi - 1, ..., \varphi - 1\}}_{\varphi}$. From Lemma 6.2 the lowermost non-empty entry in column $j = \varphi - 4$ of $P_{\mathcal{G}_3}$ is contained in row $\max\{\epsilon\} - 3\varphi + 6 = \binom{\varphi}{2} - 3\varphi + 6 = \frac{\varphi^2 - 7\varphi + 12}{2} = \binom{\varphi-3}{2}$. This argument is summarised in FIGURE 6.

φ even	$\{s_1, \ldots, s_n\}$	ϵ
$p_{\frac{-3\varphi+12}{2},\,\varphi-4}$	$\{3, \ldots, 3\}$	$\frac{3\varphi}{2}$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$p_{\binom{\varphi-3}{2},\,\varphi-4}$	$\{n-1, \ldots, n-1\}$	$\binom{\varphi}{2}$

FIGURE 6. All possible 3-connected degree sequences of even length φ.

If φ is odd, note that the minimum possible ϵ for a degree sequence s of any 3-connected graphs is $\epsilon = \frac{3\varphi+1}{2}$ i.e. $s = \underbrace{\{4, 3, ..., 3\}}_{\varphi}$. It follows from Lemma 6.2 that, when φ is odd, the uppermost non-empty entry in column $j = \varphi - 4$ of $P_{\mathcal{G}_3}$ is contained in row $\min\{\epsilon\} - 3\varphi + 6 = \frac{3\varphi+1}{2} - 3\varphi + 6 = \frac{-3\varphi+13}{2}$. As the parity of φ is irrelevant when maximising ϵ then the lowermost non-empty entry in column $j = \varphi - 4$ of $P_{\mathcal{G}_3}$ is contained in row $\binom{\varphi-3}{2}$. This argument is summarised in FIGURE 7.

□

Corollary 6.4. *The non-empty entries in column j of $P_{\mathcal{G}_3}$ are*

- $p_{\frac{-3j}{2},\,j}$ *to* $p_{\frac{j^2+j}{2},\,j}$*, inclusive, when j is even, and*
- $p_{\frac{-3j+1}{2},\,j}$ *to* $p_{\frac{j^2+j}{2},\,j}$*, inclusive, when j is odd.*

φ odd	$\{s_1, \ldots, s_n\}$	ϵ
$p_{\frac{-3\varphi+13}{2},\, \varphi-4}$	$\{4, 3, \ldots, 3\}$	$\frac{3\varphi+1}{2}$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$p_{\binom{\varphi-3}{2},\, \varphi-4}$	$\{n-1, \ldots, n-1\}$	$\binom{\varphi}{2}$

FIGURE 7. All possible 3-connected degree sequences of odd length φ.

Proof. The result follows from Lemma 6.3 by letting $\varphi = j + 4$. □

It follows that, when j is odd, column j in $P_{\mathcal{G}}$ has $\frac{j^2-j}{2} + \frac{3j-1}{2} + 1 = \frac{j^2+4j+1}{2}$ non-empty entries, for example, column $j = 1$ has $\frac{1^2+4(1)+1}{2} = 3$ non-empty entries, as shown in FIGURE 3. Similarly, when j is even, column j in $P_{\mathcal{G}}$ has $\frac{j^2-j}{2} + \frac{3j}{2} + 1 = \frac{j^2+4j+2}{2}$ non-empty entries, for example, column $j = 2$ has $\frac{2^2+4(2)+2}{2} = 7$ non-empty entries, again see FIGURE 3.

Note that it is possible to provide alternative justifications for Lemma 6.3 and Corollary 6.4 using the relationship between BG-operations and entries in $P_{\mathcal{G}_3}$ which is outlined in FIGURE 4.

7. Results

Theorem 7.1. *Given a sequence $s = \{s_1, ..., s_n\}$ of positive integers, with the associated pair (φ, ϵ), such that $s_i \geq s_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, ..., n-1$ then s is 3-connected if and only if*

- $\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $\frac{3\varphi}{2} \leq \epsilon \leq \binom{\varphi}{2}$,
- $s_1 \leq \varphi - 1$ *and* $s_n \geq 3$.

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Clearly $\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ is a necessary condition for any sequence s to be realisable as half the sum of the degrees in any graph is the number of edges in that graph and this must be a natural number. The necessity of the condition $\frac{3\varphi}{2} \leq \epsilon \leq \binom{\varphi}{2}$ follows from Lemma 6.3 noting that $\epsilon > \frac{3\varphi}{2}$ whenever φ is odd. Finally, the necessity of the condition $s_1 \leq \varphi - 1$ follows from the definition of a simple graph and the need for $s_n \geq 3$ is due to the fact that every vertex in a 3-connected graph has degree at least 3. It follows from FIGURES 6 and 7 in Lemma 6.3 that, for a fixed φ, all sequences with $\frac{3\varphi}{2} < \epsilon < \binom{\varphi}{2}$ are also realisable.

($\Leftarrow$) Suppose that $s = \{s_1, ..., s_n\}$ is 3-connected. This means that s is the degree sequence of a 3-connected graph G, hence $\sum_{i=1}^{n} deg(v_i) = 2|V_G|$ and

so $\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$. As G is 3-connected then $deg(v_i) \geq 3$ for all $i = 1, ..., n$ hence if G is a minimal 3-connected graph on n vertices then $d(G) = \{3, ..., 3\}$ with $|E_G| = \frac{3n}{2}$ if n is even or $d(G) = \{4, 3, ..., 3\}$ with $|E_G| = \frac{3n+1}{2}$ if n is odd, hence $s_n \geq 3$ and $\epsilon \geq \frac{3\varphi}{2}$. As G is simple then $deg(v_i) \leq n-1$ for all $i = 1, ..., n$ and the maximal simple (3-connected) graph on n vertices is the complete graph K_n which has the degree sequence $\{n-1, ..., n-1\}$ and $|E_{K_n}| = \binom{n}{2}$, hence $s_1 \leq n-1$ and $\epsilon \leq \binom{\varphi}{2}$. □

Before stating the next result the following definition is required.

Definition 7.2. A finite sequence $s = \{s_1, ..., s_n\}$ of positive integers is called *necessarily* 3*-connected* if s can only be realisable as a 3-connected (simple) graph.

Theorem 7.3. *Given a sequence $s = \{s_1, ..., s_n\}$ of positive integers, with the associated pair (φ, ϵ), such that $s_i \geq s_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, ..., n-1$ then s is necessarily 3-connected if and only if s is 3-connected and $\epsilon > \binom{\varphi-2}{2} + 5$.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Clearly it is necessary for s to be 3-connected if it is to be necessarily 3-connected. It is required to show that it is necessary for $\epsilon > \binom{\varphi-2}{2}+5$. Consider a sequence $s = \{s_1, ..., s_n\}$ such that $\epsilon = \binom{\varphi-2}{2}+5$. Observe that one such sequence is $s' = \{n-1, n-1, n-3, ..., n-3, 3, 3\}$ which has $(\varphi(s'), \epsilon(s')) = \left(n, \frac{(n-2)(n-3)+4+6}{2}\right) = \left(n, \binom{n-2}{2} + 5\right)$. Observe that $s' = d(G_1)$, see FIGURE 8, where $G_1 = H_1 \cup H_2$ such that $H_1 \simeq K_4$, $H_2 \simeq K_{n-2}$ and $H_1 \cap H_2 \simeq K_2$ with $V_{H_1} = \{v_1, v_2, v_{n-1}, v_n\}$, $V_{H_2} = \{v_1, ..., v_{n-2}\}$ and $V_{H_1 \cap H_2} = \{v_1, v_2\}$. Note that G_1 is 2-connected as $G_1 \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}$ is disconnected.

However, $s' = \{n-1, n-1, n-3, ..., n-3, 3, 3\}$ is, in fact, 3-connected as s' is also the degree sequence of G_2, again see FIGURE 8, noting that $v_i v_j \in E_{G_1}$ but $v_i v_j \notin E_{G_2}$. Therefore, it is required that $\epsilon > \binom{\varphi-2}{2} + 5$ if s is to be necessarily 3-connected as the sequence $s' = \{n-1, n-1, n-3, ..., n-3, 3, 3\}$ with $\epsilon(s') = \binom{\varphi-2}{2} + 5$ is realisable as a 2-connected graph.



FIGURE 8. $d(G_1) = d(G_2) = s' = \{n-1, n-1, n-3, ..., n-3, 3, 3\}$.

($\Leftarrow$) It is now required to show that if s is 3-connected and $\epsilon > \binom{\varphi-2}{2}+5$ then s is necessarily 3-connected. To show this it is required to show that the maximum number of edges in a graph with n vertices which is not 3-connected is

$\binom{n-2}{2}+5$. The graph G_1 in FIGURE 8 shows that such a graph exists, and so it remains to show that a graph with $\epsilon = \binom{\varphi-2}{2}+5$ is maximally 2-connected i.e. adding one edge will always result in a 3-connected graph.

Observe that any maximally 2-connected graph on n vertices will necessarily contain a cut set with two vertices $\{u, v\}$ i.e. $G \setminus \{u, v\}$ is disconnected. To maximise the number of edges in G it is clear that $G\setminus\{u, v\}$ contains just two connected components i.e. $G = H_1 \cup H_2$ where $H_1 \cap H_2 = (\{u, v\}, \{uv\}) = C$ with $H_1 \simeq K_{a+2}$, $H_2 \simeq K_{b+2}$ and $H_1 \cap H_2 \simeq K_2$ (noting that $a+b = n-2$). So, the task of maximising $|E_{H_1\setminus C}|+|E_{H_2\setminus C}|$ is equivalent to minimising the number of edges in a complete bipartite graph $K_{a,b}$ as $K_n \setminus (E_{H_1\cup H_2}) \simeq K_{a,b}$.

Let $a + b = n - 2$, with $a \leq b$, then $|E_{K_{a,b}}| = ab$ where $a, b \in \{1, ..., n-3\}$. Note that $a > 0$ as $G \setminus \{u, v\}$ is disconnected i.e. $K_a \neq K_0 = (\varnothing, \varnothing)$. It is straightforward to show that ab attains its maximum at $a = b = \frac{n-2}{2}$, when n is even, and at $a = \lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor, b = \lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\rceil$ when n is odd. It follows that ab is minimised when $a = 1$ and $b = n-3$. However, observe that $a > 1$ as $a = 1$ implies that $H_1 \simeq K_3$ which means that $d(G)$ contains a term equal to 2, but this contradicts the $s_n \geq 3$ condition. Hence $|E_{K_{a,b}}|$, with $a+b = n-2$, is minimised when $a = 2$ and $b = n-4$ and so the maximal 2-connected graph on n vertices is isomorphic to $H_1 \cup H_2$ where $H_1 \simeq K_4, H_2 \simeq K_{n-2}$ and $H_1 \cap H_2 \simeq K_2$, see G_1 in FIGURE 8. Notice that the union of G_1 and any edge in $\overline{G_1}$, the complement of G_1, results in a 3-connected graph. □

Corollary 7.4. *All simple graphs with n vertices and at least $\frac{n^2-5n+18}{2}$ edges are 3-connected.*

Proof. As shown in Theorem 7.3, a maximal 2-connected graph with n vertices is isomorphic to the union of $H_1 \simeq K_4$ and $H_2 \simeq K_{n-2}$ where $H_1 \cap H_2 \simeq K_2$ and such a graph has $|E_{K_{n-2}}|+|E_{K_4}|-|E_{K_2}| = \binom{n-2}{2}+\binom{4}{2}-\binom{2}{2}$ edges. It follows that any simple graph with n vertices and at least $\left(\binom{n-2}{2}+5\right)+1 = \frac{(n-2)(n-3)+12}{2} = \frac{n^2-5n+18}{2}$ edges is 3-connected. □

Note that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n^2 - 5n$ is even and $n^2 - 5n + 18 > 0$, hence $\frac{n^2-5n+18}{2} \in \mathbb{N}$.

References

[1] Barnette, D. W. and Grünbaum, B., *On Steinitz's theorem concerning convex 3-polytopes and on some properties of planar graphs,* The Many Facets of Graph Theory (Proc. Conf., Western Mich. Univ., Kalamazoo, Mich., 1968) (1969), 27–40.

[2] Bondy, J. A. and Murty, U. S. R., *Graph theory,* Graduate Texts in Mathematics **244** (2008), Springer.

[3] Diestel, R., *Graph theory,* Graduate Texts in Mathematics **173** (2000), Springer-Verlag.

[4] Erdös, P. and Gallai, T., *Graphs with prescribed degrees of vertices,* Mat. Lapok **11** (1960), 264–274 (in Hungarian).

[5] Gould, R., *Graph theory,* (1988), The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co. Inc.

[6] Hakimi, S. L., *On realizability of a set of integers as degrees of the vertices of a linear graph. I,* J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math. **10** (1962), 496–506.

[7] McLaughlin, J., *On connected degree sequences,* arXiv:1511.09321 (2015).

[8] Schmidt, J. M., *Construction sequences and certifying 3-connectivity,* Algorithmica **62** (2012), 192–208.

[9] Tutte, W. T., *Connectivity in graphs,* Mathematical Expositions **15** (1966), University of Toronto Press, Toronto, Ont.; Oxford University Press, London.

Jonathan McLaughlin
Department of Mathematics,
St. Patrick's College,
Dublin City University,
Dublin 9,
Ireland
e-mail: jonny_mclaughlin@hotmail.com